Approved For Release 2002/08/21: CIA-RDP81S00991R000200030001-8 FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY

OFFICE OF CENTRAL REFERENCE

15 May 1964

MEMORANDUM FOR:		STATIŅĪ	
SUBJECT;	Annual End-User Summary Reports on Foreign Service Personnei		
Service Officers (includ POD. PE by 15 June 1964 appraisals and the intell are included in individuate ment, thus influencing f	ing Reserve and Staff) are due in State/PER; These Summary reports, unlike airgram ligence evaluation of each post's reporting, al officer's personnel folders in the Depart- uture assignments and promotions. It is	children entere exemplement enterent en	
ranking officer has part narrative statement rec	a to paile en Mitte appropriété ser exceptive.		
back of Forms DS-973, are available in my offic	The state of the s		
STATIN	NTL		
	SUBJECT: 1. Annual End-Use Service Officers (included POD. PE by 15 June 1964 appraisals and the intell are included in individual ment, thus influencing franct important, therefor and objectively. 2. Only those officer has part marrative statement received but he should not receive but he should not received. 3. Instructions for back of Forms DS-973, are available in my officer has part are available in my officer.	Annual End-User Summary Reports on Foreign Service Personnel i. Annual End-User Summary Reports (DS-973) on all Foreign Service Officers (including Reserve and Staff) are due in State/PER, POD. PE by 15 June 1964. These Summary reports, unlike airgram appraisals and the intelligence evaluation of each post's reporting, are included in individual officer's personnel folders in the Department, thus influencing future assignments and promotions. It is most important, therefore, that the reports be prepared carefully and objectively. 2. Only those officers who have contributed substantially to are rated. If an ambassador or other high-ranking officer has participated in the program to any degree, a marrative statement recognizing his contribution should be prepared, but he should not receive a numerical rating. 3. Instructions for preparing the reports will be found on the back of Forms DS-973, sample attached. Extra copies of the form are available in my office, as are copies of last year's reports.	

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

ORM DS-973 -1452	DEPARTMENT OF STATE								
	ANNUAL	END-U	ISER	SUMMARY	REPORT				
FFICER REPORTED ON				CLASS		POST			
	•							_	
EVIEWING DEPARTMENT OR BUREAU			500		COVERED	No. 1	DATE SU	BMITTED	
			FROM		10				
(Signature of Reviewin	d Official			(Func	tional Ti	tle of	Reviewin	offici	al)
PLEASE	FOLLOW	INSTRUC	TION	S ON REVE					
. TYPE OF WORK OR ACTIVITY UN	DER REVIEW	l							
B. EVALUATION OF WORK PRODUCT	OR ACTIVIT	ΓY							
13									
·				•					
									,
State Dept. review compl	eted								

Approved For Release 2002/08/21 ը ဥ/4-RDP81S00991R000200030001-8

Annual End-User Summary Evaluations are required at the time annual efficiency reports are due and normally cover a period of approximately one year. The report should cover, in summary form, the End-User's evaluation of the performance or work product of the officer or employee as measured and observed during that period. If it is desired to submit comments on individual reports or actions, such reports should be submitted in memorandum form.

PURPOSE

It is the purpose of this form to provide the Department of State with End-User evaluations of the performance of individual officers and employees of the Foreign Service to be considered along with their annual efficiency reports and other evidence of record in arriving at an over-all evaluation of their performance during the period covered by the rating.

INSTRUCTIONS

Type of Work or Activity Being Reviewed.

Specify the exact type of reporting, work product, or activity on which the report is based.

B. Evaluation of Work Product or Activity.

Discuss such factors as quality, scope and quantity of work, initiative and resourcefulness demonstrated, general usability or appropriateness of the effort, significant contributions which may have resulted, and similar factors as indicated. Consider opportunities existing at the post. Consider whether statistical and other types of information are readily available or whether considerable initiative and ingenuity are required to secure even basic information. Attach supplementary sheets if required.

C. Rating Assigned.

The rating is to be assigned in terms of six (6) levels, six (6) represents the highest rating, one (1) the lowest. Using the following standards encircle on the face of this form that number which best reflects the level of the officer's or employee's performance with reference to the type of work or activity reported on. The narrative evaluation under part B should justify the rating assigned. Ratings should be confined to an evaluation of the reports or other work products submitted without reference to the class level of the officer or employee.

- The work product or performance was deficient in many important respects and clearly below acceptable standards.
- The work product or performance although acceptable and usable was deficient 2. in several important respects.
- 3. The work product or performance was satisfactory.
- The work product orperformance was more than satisfactory indicating comsiderable initiative and resourcefulness.
- The work product or performance was so exceptionally well done as to leave no recognizable room for improvement in any significant respect.
- The work product orperformance was superior in every respect denoting the 6. highest degree of resourcefulness and initiative with no recognizable room for possible improvement.
- D. Disposition of Form.

Completed forms should be submitted to the Department of Stat ϵ , Division of Foreign Service Personnel, Performance Measurement Branch.
Approved For Release 2002/08/21: CIA-RDP81S00991R000200030001-8